

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-52 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1-4, 11-12, 16-18, 22-25, 32-33, 37 and 39-52 are currently before the Examiner, and claims 5-10, 13-15, 19-21, 26-31, 34-36 and 38 have been withdrawn herein. By this preliminary amendment, original claims 1, 17, 24 and 26 have been amended and new claims 49-52 have been added. Support for the amendments can be found throughout Applicant's specification. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter has been presented by these amendments.

In the Office Action dated June 28, 2005, the Examiner appears to have required election of one of the below species of inventive subject matter:

Species 1: Directed to a system for providing an e-learning course wherein a student profile includes a technological capability of a computer system being utilized by the student (e.g., claim 3).

Species 2: Directed to a system for providing an e-learning course wherein a student profile includes a course preference of the student (e.g., claim 5).

Species 3: Directed to a system for providing an e-learning course wherein a student profile includes information as to learning objectives with which the student is familiar (e.g., claim 7).

Species 4: Directed to a system for providing an e-learning course wherein each learning object is classified in a first classification based on at least one of a plurality of learning objectives each representing at least a sub-topic of the e-learning course (e.g., claim 9).

Species 5: Directed to a system for providing an e-learning course wherein each learning object is classified in a second classification based on a defined purpose of the learning object in the e-learning course (e.g., claim 10).

Applicant left a telephone voice mail message for the Examiner on August 3, 2005 to confirm Applicant's interpretation of the Office Action as outlined above. Applicant identified the application by serial number and requested confirmation that Applicant interpreted the Office Action correctly. Applicant has not received a telephone call in return to date.

Assuming Applicant's interpretation of the Office Action as described above is correct, Applicant elects, with traverse, the claims relating to Species 1 for further prosecution. It is believed that claims 3, 4, 22, 23, 33, 41, 44, 45 are readable on species 1. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 32, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43 and 46-52 and are believed to be generic.


Applicant reserves the right to elect and prosecute the non-elected claims, or any other subject matter disclosed in the instant application, in one or more co-pending applications to be filed at a later date.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/864,885  
Response to Restriction Requirement Filed August 11, 2005

Based upon the foregoing, early and favorable consideration of Claims 1-4, 11-12, 16-18, 22-25, 32-33, 37 and 39-52 is respectfully requested.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 04-1105, under Order No. 62434(49004).

Respectfully submitted,



Date: August 11, 2005

Brian R. Pollack, Reg. No. 47,001  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant  
Intellectual Property Practice Group  
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP  
P.O. Box 55874  
Boston, MA 02205  
Tel: (203) 353-6831  
Fax: (203) 975-7180  
Customer No. 21,874